

The Digital Economy and the Global Workforce: Cultivating Inclusive Leadership and Global Mindsets for Managing Diversity at a Distance

Agba, Michael Sunday, PhD

Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences
University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria
Email: mikagbaagba@yahoo.co.uk
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7907-1079>

Grace Elejo Michael Agba, PhD

School of Health Information Management,
University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar, Nigeria
Email: gracemichaelagba@gmail.com

Abstract

The rise of the digital economy has essentially reshaped the structure and functioning of the global workforce, introducing new complexities in managing cultural, generational, and geographical diversity. As organizations increasingly adopt remote and hybrid work models, traditional leadership frameworks have become inadequate for addressing the challenges of workforce inclusion and cohesion across distributed environments. This study therefore examines the role of inclusive leadership and the cultivation of a global mindset as strategic responses to managing diversity at a distance. Drawing from qualitative and empirical data, the paper examines how inclusive leadership practices (characterized by cultural intelligence, empathy, participative decision-making, and adaptive communication framework) affect team dynamics, employee engagement, and organizational performance in virtual contexts. The study identifies significant gaps in leadership training and institutional support for inclusive leadership at the global scale. It further argues that technological tools, while enabling communication, are insufficient substitutes for value-based leadership in sustaining inclusive work cultures. Findings of the study reveal that leaders who demonstrate a global mindset and take proactively steps to address intersectional differences (including culture, gender, and work style) are more successful in fostering psychological safety and collaboration in dispersed teams. Based on the findings, the study recommends three strategic interventions: first, integrating global competence and inclusive leadership modules into executive training programs; second, institutionalizing diversity governance frameworks that support inclusive decision-making across borders; and third, leveraging digital platforms not only for task management but also for promoting intercultural dialogue and community-building. These interventions are critical for organizations seeking resilience and innovation in a rapidly evolving global digital economy.

Keywords: digital economy, global workforce, inclusive leadership, managing diversity

Introduction

The digital economy has profoundly transformed the global workforce, ushering in significant technological, structural, and cultural shifts. These changes have redefined how organizations operate, how employees engage with work, and how leadership must evolve to manage diverse teams effectively across distances. Put differently, the paradigm shift in the 21st-century workplace, couple with the emergence and rapid changes in the digital economy and global workforce dynamics has

prompted organizations to reimagine how leadership, inclusion, and diversity are practiced across distances.

The shift in the global workspace has been technological, structural and cultural in nature. Advancements in digital technologies have revolutionized the workplace. The proliferation of high-speed internet, cloud computing, and collaborative tools has enabled remote work and virtual collaboration on an unprecedented scale. According to the National Skills Coalition, 92% of jobs now require digital skills, yet one-third of workers lack foundational digital competencies, highlighting a significant skills gap in the labour market space (National Skills Coalition, 2023).

Aided by technological revolutions and recent developments, the traditional organizational hierarchy is giving way to more agile and decentralized structures. The rise of remote work has led to a more dispersed workforce, challenging conventional management practices. A YouGov survey revealed that 29% of Australians have worked remotely while traveling, and 41% are interested in doing so, indicating a shift towards more flexible work arrangements (Courier Mail, 2025). Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into business processes is reshaping job roles and functions. For instance, in 2025, over 61,000 jobs were cut across major tech companies like Microsoft, Google, and Amazon, driven by AI integration and restructuring efforts (Times of India, 2025). The rise of distributed work arrangements, accelerated by the global adoption of teleworking during the COVID-19 pandemic, has destabilized traditional workplace boundaries and leadership hierarchies (Kim et al, 2025; Aly, 2024). These disruptions are accompanied by the opportunity to harness inclusive leadership and cultivate global mindsets as foundational tools for managing increasingly diverse, dispersed teams in meaningful and productive ways.

Organizations today are not only more geographically fragmented but also more demographically varied than ever before. A workforce composed of individuals across continents, languages, and cultures demands leadership that is attuned not just to performance metrics, but to human differences, lived experiences, and psychological safety (Liu, et al, 2024); Lee & Shin, 2024). Thus, inclusive leadership has emerged as a central pillar to drive this kind of environment where adaptability, empathy, and cultural intelligence are no longer peripheral soft skills, but strategic imperatives for leadership and organizational success and resilience. This imposes a compelling expectation on leaders to manage diversity not as a compliance requirement, but as a complex and dynamic asset (Zhou, Teng, & Al-Samarraie, 2024; Naseer et al, 2024).

There is a global emergence of workplace culture which prioritize inclusivity, diversity, and employee well-being. The digital economy has facilitated greater participation from diverse groups, but it has also highlighted disparities. For example, the digital divide continues to disenfranchise approximately 2.6 billion people worldwide, exacerbating inequality and limiting access to digital opportunities (Reuters, 2024). Organizations are now recognizing the importance of cultivating inclusive leadership to manage diverse teams effectively and to foster environments where all employees feel valued and empowered.

However, managing diversity at a distance complicates this challenge. The affordances of digital platforms may facilitate communication but do not inherently translate into inclusion. Research indicates that many employees in virtual environments continue to face marginalization, cultural misunderstandings, and identity-based exclusion despite technological connectivity (Tommasi et al, 2024; Griep et al, 2025). In fact, in the absence of intentional leadership strategies, digital workspaces may amplify existing inequities. This paradox of being more connected yet potentially more isolated underscores the urgency for leaders to rethink how they practice inclusion beyond physical proximity (Odazie et al., 2024).

The literature suggests that inclusive leadership marked by behaviors such as active listening, participatory decision-making, recognition of diverse viewpoints, and proactive support for underrepresented team members can mitigate the social distance created by virtual work and enhance collaboration, innovation, and trust (Leuhery et al., 2024; Wagdi & Fathi, 2024). Moreover, the cultivation of a global mindset enables leaders to transcend ethnocentric frames of reference, allowing them to engage supportively with diverse teams and align them toward common goals despite geographic dispersion (Wharton Knowledge, 2024; Elamin et al, 2024).

This paper investigates how organizations operating within the digital economy can strategically deploy inclusive leadership and global mindset development to manage workforce diversity across borders. Drawing upon a synthesis of recent empirical studies, this inquiry seeks to articulate the conditions under which remote inclusivity flourishes, the institutional and behavioral barriers that impede it, and the leadership competencies required to foster trust and cohesion in culturally diverse, digitally mediated teams. Through the deployment of qualitative and quantitative data and evidences, the study aims to offer practical pathways for reconfiguring leadership and inclusion in the modern, decentralized workplace.

Notable Changes in the Digital Economy Space and its Connections to Inclusive Leadership

The digital economy's expansion is evident in its economic impact. Business e-commerce sales grew nearly 60% from 2016 to 2022, reaching \$27 trillion across 43 countries, representing about three-quarters of global GDP (UNCTAD, 2024). This growth underscores the increasing reliance on digital platforms and the necessity for organizations to adapt to this rapidly changing landscape.

The 2024 Revolent Group report presented by Lakuta (2024) reveals critical insights into the current state of inclusive leadership and diversity management within the digital economy, particularly in the global tech workforce. In the U.S., racial and ethnic representation remains uneven—Black professionals comprise 13% of support roles but only 6% of web developers, while Hispanic/Latino participation stands at 5.9% and Asians at 34%. Encouragingly, companies like Google have increased leadership diversity by 30% among underrepresented ethnic groups. In the UK, 25% of the tech workforce is from ethnic minorities, but only 5% identify as Black. Similarly, the inclusion of disabled and neurodivergent professionals remains disproportionately low only 6% report disabilities in tech compared to 23% in the wider workforce, and just 3% have disclosed neurodivergence, despite national estimates of 15–20%.

Socioeconomic representation also highlights persistent gaps. Only 9% of UK tech workers come from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, while 45% have parents in professional roles. Nonetheless, 30% of entry-level tech roles are now filled by individuals from underrepresented groups, aided by 70% of tech internships offering financial support. These statistics stress the necessity for robust and sustained inclusive leadership strategies, including equity-driven policies, inclusive corporate culture, leadership accountability, and regular diversity training to ensure that the tech industry reflects and respects the diversity of the global workforce.

The Financial Times article "Class is the missing link in employers' diversity drives" highlights a significant oversight in many corporate diversity initiatives: socio-economic background. While companies often focus on visible aspects of diversity such as race and gender, they frequently neglect class-based disparities. This omission perpetuates barriers for individuals from lower socio-economic backgrounds, who may lack access to elite educational institutions or professional networks that facilitate career advancement. Consequently, the workplace remains stratified, with

leadership positions predominantly occupied by those from more privileged backgrounds (Jacobs, 2025).

The article underscores the need for organizations to broaden their diversity and inclusion strategies to encompass socio-economic factors. Taking conceited steps of acknowledging and addressing class-based inequalities, companies can foster a more inclusive environment that leverages a wider range of perspectives and experiences. This approach not only promotes equity but also enhances innovation and decision-making by drawing from a more diverse talent pool.

In light of these transformations, cultivating inclusive leadership and mindsets is imperative for managing diversity effectively in a digitally connected world. Leaders must navigate the complexities of remote team management, address the challenges posed by technological advancements, and foster a culture that embraces diversity and inclusion to thrive in the digital economy.

Table 1: Notable Changes in the Digital Economy Relation to Inclusive Leadership and Diversity Management

S/N	Matrix of Notable Changes	Descriptions of Changes in the Digital Economy and Remarks
1	Growth of the Diversity & Inclusion (D&I) Market	The global D&I market was valued at \$10.9 billion in 2023 and is projected to reach \$24.4 billion by 2030 , growing at a CAGR of 10.6% . This growth underscores the increasing emphasis organizations place on inclusive leadership and diversity initiatives (Businesswire, 2024).
2.	Gender Disparities in AI-Driven Automation	A study by the International Labour Organization (ILO) reveals that women are three times more likely than men to lose their jobs (particularly in clerical and administrative positions) due to AI-driven automation. As generative AI continues to expand across various industries, high-income countries are experiencing increased gender disparities. The report calls on policymakers to implement inclusive strategies to prevent automation from exacerbating existing inequalities in the global workforce. This highlights the need for inclusive leadership to address gender biases in technological advancements (Economic Times, 29 May, 2025).
3	Representation in Tech Leadership	In 2024, Google increased leadership representation of Black, Latino, Hispanic, and Native American employees by 30% , indicating progress in diversifying leadership within the tech industry (Lakuta, 2024).
4	Employee Perspectives on DEI Initiatives	According to the ADP Research Institute's "People at Work 2024" report, worker thoughts on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts vary widely , suggesting that while some employees see value in DEI initiatives, others may be skeptical, highlighting the importance of effective communication and implementation of inclusive practices (ADP Research, 2024)
5.	Digital Divide and Gender Inequality	Approximately 2.6 billion people remain offline , with women disproportionately affected due to factors like affordability, literacy, and digital skills. Bridging this digital divide is crucial for inclusive participation in the digital economy (Alyahya, 2024)
6.	Socio-Economic Factors in Diversity Efforts	Socio-economic background significantly affects career progression and inclusion, yet it's often overlooked in diversity initiatives. Employees from advantaged backgrounds tend to be promoted faster and dominate senior roles, emphasizing the need for inclusive leadership to address class-based disparities (Jacobs, 2025).

The statistical data in table 1 above demonstrates the evolving nature of the landscape of the digital economy and underscore the critical role of inclusive leadership in managing diversity. Mitigating

these challenges requires concerted efforts from organizations to implement equitable practices and foster inclusive environments. Learning to adjust and integrate AI tools in public and private sectors domains is fast gaining grounds. However, AI should be used with caution (Agba, Agba & 2023).

2. Theoretical Framework and Review

In the evolving landscape of the digital economy, characterized by remote work, technological advancements, and diverse global teams, traditional leadership models are increasingly inadequate. To navigate this complexity, contemporary leadership must be underpinned by theories that emphasize inclusivity, cultural sensitivity, and adaptability. This section explores four pivotal theories-Inclusive Leadership Theory, Social Identity Theory, Cultural Intelligence (CQ), and Transformational Leadership Theory. The integrated perspectives adopted and the intersection of these theories provide a robust framework for understanding and cultivating inclusive leadership in the digital economy. Inclusive leadership, underpinned by social identity awareness and cultural intelligence, is essential for managing diversity at a distance. Transformational leadership complements this by inspiring collective action and fostering environments where all members can thrive. Together, these theories highlight the importance of leaders who are not only visionary and motivational but also culturally intelligent and committed to equity and inclusion. This integrated approach is critical for organizations seeking to leverage the full potential of a diverse global workforce in the digital age.

Inclusive Leadership Theory

Inclusive leadership in the global workplace context refers to a leadership approach that dynamically embraces and manages workforce diversity by ensuring equitable treatment of individuals and teams based on their distinct backgrounds, skills, and perspectives, rather than allowing stereotypes or biases to shape decisions or behaviors; valuing personal uniqueness within collective identity, by recognizing, respecting, and integrating the diverse cultural, professional, and individual attributes of employees while fostering a sense of shared belonging and team cohesion and harnessing cognitive diversity for strategic advantage, by drawing on the varied insights, experiences, and viewpoints of multicultural teams to enhance creativity, drive innovation, and make well-informed decisions thereby minimizing blind spots in global operations and complex problem-solving (see Bourke, 2016).

Inclusive Leadership Theory centers on behaviors and practices that enable leaders to effectively engage and leverage diverse team members. Inclusive leadership emphasizes openness, accessibility, and availability, enabling leaders to create environments where all team members feel valued and empowered. This approach is particularly vital in the digital economy, where remote and multicultural teams are the norm. Inclusive leaders foster organizational effectiveness and employee well-being by actively supporting diversity and inclusion, yet their emergence is often hindered by biases in leader selection processes. Integrating expectation states theory and implicit leadership theory, inclusive leadership is shown to improve organizational outcomes by promoting practices that support inclusion and by challenging traditional, exclusionary leadership norms. Policies and practices that encourage the emergence of inclusive leaders are essential for cultivating diverse, high-performing teams in global digital contexts (Barak et al., 2024; Paiuc, 2021; Durokifa, 2025).

Recent studies have highlighted the positive impact of inclusive leadership on organizational outcomes. For instance, Liu (2024) conducted a comprehensive review of inclusive leadership research, revealing that such leadership practices enhance employee engagement, innovation, and overall organizational performance. Furthermore, inclusive leadership has been linked to improved

workplace well-being, as it satisfies employees' intrinsic needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Writing on inclusive leadership paradox, Griep et. al (2025) discuss the paradoxes of inclusive leadership, emphasizing the need for leaders to balance inclusion efforts to avoid inadvertently excluding neurodivergent individuals. Furthermore, Sun et al. (2024) argue for a shift from diversity to true equity in organizations, underscoring the pivotal role of inclusive leadership in achieving this transition.

In the digital economy, where teams are often geographically dispersed, inclusive leadership becomes even more critical. Leaders must proactively create virtual environments that promote inclusivity, ensuring that all team members, regardless of location or background, feel connected and engaged.

Inclusive leadership is associated with traits that are strategic to organizational success, diversity management and workers' wellbeing in the digital economy. As depicted in the diagram below, inclusive leadership is characterized by cognizance, curiosity, cultural intelligence, collaboration, commitment, and courage. In a similar manner, Jake Jorgovan's in his article, "How to Transform Your Organization with Inclusive Leadership: 5 Key Strategies," present valuable perspectives into fostering inclusive leadership within the digital economy. He emphasizes the importance of recognizing and addressing unconscious biases, developing cultural competence, and empowering team members with critical skills for managing diverse, remote teams effectively and efficiently. Jorgovan advocates for transparency and accountability in leadership which he argues will ensure that decision-making processes are fair and inclusive. These leadership credentials are essential in virtual environments where trust and clarity are paramount. He also highlights the significance of mentorship programs that connect diverse employees with leaders, promoting career growth and retention in geographically dispersed teams. These strategies align with the need for cultivating inclusive mindsets to navigate the complexities of a global workforce in the digital age (Jorgovan, 2024).



Graphic courtesy of Deloitte
Copyright © 2021 Deloitte Development LLC. All rights reserved.

Social Identity Theory

Social Identity Theory (SIT) explains how individuals' self-concepts, shaped by their group memberships, influence workplace dynamics, particularly in diverse and multicultural settings. Put differently, SIT explicates how individuals define themselves based on group memberships, such as nationality, profession, or organizational affiliation. These group identities influence attitudes, behaviors, and interactions, especially in diverse and multicultural environments like the global digital workforce. SIT highlights processes such as ingroup bias, stereotyping, and the maintenance of group norms, which are central to understanding how people relate to one another in organizational and virtual contexts (Brown, 2000; Hogg & Terry, 2000).

What implications those SIT on the digital economy and workplace in relation to diversity management? In the digital economy, global teams interact across cultures and geographies, making social identity processes highly relevant. SIT suggests that employees categorize themselves and others into social groups (e.g., by nationality, department, or remote vs. onsite status), which can shape collaboration, communication, and leadership effectiveness at a distance (Hogg & Terry, 2000; Herriot & Scott-Jackson, 2002). The rise of digital platforms and social networking sites further amplifies the salience of global and local identities, as individuals navigate both shared and distinct group memberships online (Makri et al., 2019; Merchant, 2006). Thus, in the digital economy, where teams are often globally distributed, leaders must be aware of group-based biases and favoritism that can undermine morale and trust. Social identity configurations such as balanced identification with both global and local cultures are linked to more effective and transformational leadership behaviors. Leaders who understand and manage these identity dynamics can foster greater inclusion, reduce turnover, and enhance organizational productivity by mitigating the negative effects of in-group favoritism and selective empathy (Lisak & Harush, 2021; Durokifa, 2025; Ding et al., 2017).

In summary, SIT provides a framework for understanding challenges and opportunities in cultivating inclusive leadership. In virtual teams, where non-verbal cues are limited, the risk of misinterpretation and exclusion based on social identities may increase. Leaders must therefore be vigilant in promoting inclusive communication practices and ensuring that all voices are heard and respected. Leaders must recognize and manage the multiple, sometimes conflicting, social identities present in global teams. When social identities are salient, employees may prioritize group norms and values over organizational goals, potentially leading to ingroup favoritism or intergroup conflict (Hogg & Terry, 2000; Herriot & Scott-Jackson, 2002). Inclusive leadership involves fostering a sense of belonging while bridging differences, encouraging positive intergroup relations, and leveraging diverse perspectives for innovation (Brown, 2000; Hogg & Terry, 2000).

Cultural Intelligence (CQ)

Cultural intelligence is the capability to function effectively across various cultural contexts and is a core competence for inclusive leadership. In multicultural and digitally connected workplaces, leaders with high CQ are better equipped to navigate cultural disparities, foster equity, and drive organizational health. CQ enables leaders to relate and work efficiently in diverse situations, directly supporting the expansion and development of global businesses. Researches over the years have demonstrated a comprehensive linkage between CQ and inclusive leadership, suggesting that enhancing CQ is critical for leaders aiming to manage diversity at a distance and to create inclusive, adaptive environments where interpersonal interactions thrive (Paiuc, 2021; Durokifa, 2025; Velarde et al., 2020). Moreover, CQ is not only beneficial for interpersonal interactions but also for strategic decision-making in diverse markets. Leaders with high cultural intelligence can better anticipate and

respond to the needs of varied customer bases, thereby driving organizational success in the global economy.

Transformational Leadership Theory

Transformational leadership theory focuses on leaders' ability to inspire and motivate employees to exceed expectations through vision, personal influence, and the fostering of shared goals. In the context of the digital economy and global workforce, transformational leaders are particularly effective when they possess balanced global and local identities, which enhances their ability to lead multicultural teams. Transformational leadership is associated with increased work engagement, reduced turnover intentions, and improved organizational health, especially when mediated by strong social identity and cultural intelligence. Furthermore, transformational leadership's emphasis on inclusiveness, innovation, and empowerment aligns closely with the goals of inclusive business and diversity management, making it a powerful framework for leading diverse, distributed teams (Lisak & Harush, 2021; Velarde et al., 2020; Ding et al., 2017; Muralidharan & Pathak, 2018; Carrington et al., 2024; Kter et al., 2024; Shields, 2020).

Supportive of the above line of thought are recent studies which have demonstrated the efficacy of transformational leadership in promoting innovation and adaptability within organizations. For example, Bindel, Pea-Assounga & Bambi (2025) found that transformational leadership positively influences employee innovative behavior, especially in the context of digital transformation. Through the articulation of compelling vision, mission statement, purpose and encouraging creative problem-solving among team members, transformational leaders can guide their teams through the complexities of the digital era. Dema et al. (2023) found that transformational leadership positively influences innovative digital governance, highlighting its role in enhancing communication, reducing misunderstandings, and improving team performance

Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive approach to examine how inclusive leadership and mindsets can be cultivated for managing diversity in the digital economy and global workforce. The descriptive approach involves systematically mapping and summarizing existing literature, trends, and key themes related to digital transformation, workforce diversity, and leadership practices in global, digitally connected organizations (Cricelli & Strazzullo, 2021; Grau-Sarabia & Fuster-Morell, 2021; Yang & Tan, 2023). A comprehensive literature review is conducted, drawing from peer-reviewed articles, policy papers, and relevant reports published over the past two decades. The selection process includes identifying sources from major academic databases using keywords such as "digital economy," "global workforce," "inclusive leadership," and "diversity management." The descriptive analysis provides insights into these themes and the various perspectives/arguments associated with them (Cricelli & Strazzullo, 2021; Grau-Sarabia & Fuster-Morell, 2021).

Assessing the Impact of Inclusive Leadership on Team Dynamics, Employee Engagement, and Organizational Performance in Virtual Contexts

Team Dynamics and Performance

Team dynamics play a crucial role in shaping team performance, with factors such as leadership, cohesion, coordination, and conflict management being central to effective teamwork. Research shows that team cohesion and performance are reciprocally related, with cohesion predicting subsequent performance and vice versa, though the influence of cohesion on performance grows

stronger over time (Braun et al., 2020; Mathieu et al., 2015). Coordination is also vital, as it predicts team performance, but its impact may diminish as teams mature and other processes become more influential (Braun et al., 2020). Effective team dynamics, including positive behaviors, collaboration, and support, significantly enhance virtual team performance, especially in remote or digital environments (Elyousfi et al., 2021; Licorish et al., 2023). Conflict dynamics, when managed well, can foster psychological safety and innovation, further boosting team outcomes (O'Neill & McLarnon, 2017). The optimal team performance is often achieved when teams balance stability and flexibility in their coordination, avoiding both rigidity and chaos (Demir et al., 2019; Demir et al., 2018). Additionally, shared leadership and member competence contribute to positive team dynamics and improved performance, highlighting the importance of both interpersonal and technical skills (Mathieu et al., 2015; Licorish et al., 2023). Primarily, understanding and nurturing dynamic team processes is essential for sustained high performance in diverse and evolving work contexts (Braun et al., 2020; Mathieu et al., 2015; Demir et al., 2019; Elyousfi et al., 2021; Licorish et al., 2023; Demir et al., 2018).

At the heart of the enhancement of team dynamics and performance is the subject inclusive leadership. Inclusive leadership significantly enhances team dynamics by fostering a sense of shared identity and reducing perceived status differences among team members. This approach encourages the integration of diverse viewpoints, which is particularly crucial in virtual and interprofessional teams. Empirical evidence shows that leader inclusiveness leads to improved team performance through increased team identity and diminished status barriers, especially in teams with high professional diversity (Mitchell et al., 2015). Furthermore, inclusive leadership is positively associated with team innovation, as it cultivates a climate of openness and reflexivity, enabling teams to adapt and respond creatively to challenges (Liu et al., 2024). However, the relationship is not strictly linear; excessive inclusiveness may lead to diminishing returns, suggesting an optimal level of inclusive leadership for maximizing team engagement and innovative behaviors (Qijie & Tang, 2022).

Employee Engagement and Organizational Commitment

Inclusive leadership directly contributes to higher levels of employee work engagement and organizational commitment. Studies indicate that when leaders demonstrate inclusiveness, employees feel more valued and supported, which enhances their emotional attachment to the organization and their willingness to engage in discretionary effort (Ly, 2023). This relationship is partially mediated by affective organizational commitment, highlighting the importance of fostering a supportive and inclusive climate to drive engagement and, ultimately, organizational performance (Ly, 2023; Xu et al., 2023). It has also been argued that inclusive leadership promotes a caring ethical climate, which further encourages employee voice behaviour and team performance (Qi & Liu, 2017).

Organizational Performance in Virtual Teams

In virtual contexts, inclusive leadership and strong team dynamics are critical for achieving high performance. Research in public organizations shows that team member behaviour, collaboration, and support which are usually identified as some of the major elements of team dynamics have a substantial impact on virtual team performance. While e-leadership attributes such as trust and communication also contribute, their effect is comparatively smaller, underscoring the centrality of inclusive team processes (Elyousfi et al., 2021). Inclusive leadership also enhances perceived

organizational support, which mediates the relationship between leadership and employee innovative behaviour, further driving organizational outcomes (Qi et al., 2019).

Challenges in Managing Cultural, Generational, and Geographical Diversity Remotely

Cultural Diversity: Managing multicultural virtual teams presents unique challenges which include among others communication barriers, differing work norms, and potential for misunderstanding. Leaders must navigate deep diversity, particularly national and cultural differences, which can affect both socioemotional and task-related team processes. Dynamic interdependencies among these factors influence team performance, requiring leaders to be adept at recognizing and addressing cultural distinctions (Han & Beyerlein, 2016).

Generational and Geographical Diversity: Generational diversity introduces varying expectations regarding communication styles, feedback, and technology use. Geographical dispersion can exacerbate these challenges by limiting informal interactions and increasing reliance on digital communication tools. Leaders must be proactive in bridging generational gaps and ensuring equitable participation across locations, which demands adaptive communication and sensitivity to diverse needs (Han & Beyerlein, 2016).

Status and Identity Dynamics: Virtual environments can amplify status differences and identity-based divisions, particularly when team members are distributed across regions or hierarchies. Inclusive leadership mitigates these effects by promoting shared team identity and reducing perceived status disparities, but leaders must remain vigilant to prevent the re-emergence of silos or exclusionary behaviors (Mitchell et al., 2015; Qijie & Tang, 2022).

Measuring the Effectiveness of Inclusive Leadership Practices

In this section of the paper, literature reviews and three case studies are used to measure the effectiveness of inclusive leadership practices. The case studies used in the section portrait the managerial shift towards the integration of inclusive leadership and the embrace of global mindset in the management of diversity in global workplace. We discuss in the first the essential characteristics of inclusive leadership practices. These characteristics are common in contemporary well managed global workplace of the digital economy.

Cultural Intelligence and Empathy: Effective inclusive leaders demonstrate high cultural intelligence, enabling them to understand and adapt to diverse cultural contexts. Empathy is equally critical, as it allows leaders to appreciate individual perspectives and foster psychological safety within teams (Mitchell et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2024; Han & Beyerlein, 2016). These qualities are foundational for building trust and encouraging open dialogue in virtual settings.

Participative Decision-Making: Inclusive leadership is characterized by participative decision-making, where leaders actively seek input from all team members and value diverse contributions. This approach not only enhances team engagement but also drives innovation by leveraging the full spectrum of team expertise (Liu et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2023; Qi & Liu, 2017). However, leaders must balance inclusiveness with decisiveness to avoid decision paralysis, especially in high-diversity teams (Qijie & Tang, 2022).

Adaptive Communication: Adaptive communication is essential for managing distributed teams. Inclusive leaders tailor their communication strategies to accommodate different cultural, generational, and technological preferences, ensuring clarity and inclusivity in all interactions

(Elyousfi et al., 2021; Han & Beyerlein, 2016). This adaptability supports effective collaboration and minimizes misunderstandings in virtual environments.

Case Study 1: Deloitte – Embedding Inclusive Leadership in Virtual Collaboration

Deloitte, a global professional services firm, has strategically embedded inclusive leadership behaviors into its virtual collaboration model, particularly after the transition to remote work following the COVID-19 pandemic. The company emphasizes six signature traits of inclusive leadership: commitment, courage, cognizance of bias, curiosity, cultural intelligence, and collaboration (Bourke, 2016). These traits are not only integrated into leadership development programs but also reinforced through policies that guide virtual team interactions. Deloitte has created platforms where team members from diverse backgrounds are encouraged to contribute equally during online meetings. Managers are trained to recognize and counteract unconscious biases that may arise in digital settings, especially when assessing contributions during virtual performance reviews. The firm also facilitates cross-cultural training sessions, which improve cultural intelligence and sensitivity among its global teams. Through leveraging collaboration technologies like Microsoft Teams and Zoom, Deloitte ensures transparency and inclusivity in decision-making processes. Team leaders regularly schedule check-ins and virtual mentoring sessions to maintain interpersonal connections and psychological safety. This inclusive approach has significantly increased employee engagement, trust, and innovation within its remote teams. Deloitte's success demonstrates that inclusive leadership, when practiced intentionally in digital environments, enhances cohesion and productivity (RW3 CultureWizard, 2023).

Case Study 2: RW3 CultureWizard – Promoting Inclusive Leadership Traits Remotely

RW3 CultureWizard, a leading provider of cross-cultural training and virtual team development tools, has pioneered inclusive leadership models tailored for remote teams. From a comprehensive library of global inclusion training courses and assessments at RW3 CultureWizard, one can choose the package that matches one's need. The organization's inclusive leadership framework emphasizes six key attributes: visible commitment, humility, awareness of bias, curiosity, cultural intelligence, and collaboration (RW3 CultureWizard, 2023). To instill these traits in managers of remote teams, RW3 developed a series of interactive e-learning modules and coaching programs that simulate real-life inclusive leadership scenarios. These programs train leaders to identify and overcome cultural and communication barriers in virtual environments. Leaders are also taught to engage in active listening during video meetings and to use inclusive language that acknowledges diverse viewpoints. RW3 has found that leaders who practice humility and admit mistakes publicly foster greater team trust and psychological safety. Furthermore, the platform encourages the use of virtual team-building exercises that are sensitive to different cultural norms and time zones. Data collected from client organizations showed improved morale, lower employee turnover, and enhanced cross-border collaboration following implementation. These outcomes underscore how investing in inclusive leadership training translates into tangible benefits for distributed teams. RW3's model serves as a replicable blueprint for organizations aiming to cultivate inclusive mindsets within globally dispersed workforces.

Case Study 3: Hacking HR Lab – Building Inclusive Remote Work Policies

Hacking HR Lab, an international community of HR professionals and thought leaders, has actively promoted inclusive remote work through policy redesign and leadership training. The organization encourages firms to build equitable systems by centralizing diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) resources on accessible digital platforms (Hacking HR Lab, 2023). One of their standout

recommendations is to design remote-first policies that account for neurodiversity, caregiving responsibilities, and accessibility for persons with disabilities. Hacking HR Lab also highlights the importance of embedding DEI goals into leadership KPIs, ensuring leaders remain accountable for inclusive practices across digital teams. Participating organizations are advised to establish Employee Resource Groups (ERGs) that meet virtually and provide support for underrepresented employees. Leaders receive training on digital empathy understanding and responding to the emotional needs of remote employees through regular, thoughtful communication. These efforts help reduce isolation and promote a sense of belonging among remote workers. Several companies that have implemented these strategies reported improvements in employee satisfaction, engagement, and cross-cultural understanding. Hacking HR Lab's approach demonstrates that inclusive leadership in remote work is not merely cultural. It must also be institutional, embedded in both policies and leadership behaviors. Their case studies reveal the power of intentional inclusion in driving equity in distributed workplaces.

Generally, and from diverse perspectives, inclusive leadership and global mindsets have been integrated in various teams' framework-Interprofessional Health Teams (IHTs), Entrepreneurial Teams (ETs), Public Sector Virtual Teams (PSVTs) and Multinational Virtual Teams (MVTs). **Interprofessional Health Teams:** In health sector teams composed of diverse professionals, leader inclusiveness was found to enhance team performance by fostering a strong shared identity and reducing status differences. This approach enabled the integration of varied expertise and improved collaborative outcomes, particularly in virtual or cross-functional settings (Mitchell et al., 2015). **Entrepreneurial Teams:** Research on entrepreneurial teams revealed that inclusive leadership positively predicted team engagement and the development of an inclusive climate. These factors facilitated feedback-seeking behaviours and ultimately enhanced team performance, demonstrating the value of inclusiveness in dynamic, innovation-driven environments (Xu et al., 2023). **Public Sector Virtual Teams:** A study of virtual teams in a large Canadian public organization highlighted the importance of team dynamics such as collaboration, support, and member behaviour in driving virtual team performance. While e-leadership attributes contributed, the most significant gains were linked to inclusive team processes, underscoring the practical benefits of inclusive leadership in remote public sector teams (Elyousfi et al., 2021). **Multinational Virtual Teams:** A systematic review of multinational virtual teams identified that leaders who effectively managed both socioemotional and task-related challenges such as cultural differences and communication barriers were able to foster high-performing, cohesive teams. Inclusive leadership practices, including cultural intelligence and adaptive communication, were central to these successes (Han & Beyerlein, 2016).

Synthesis and Implications

The findings underscore the transformative impact of inclusive leadership and global mindset on virtual team dynamics, employee engagement, and organizational performance. Through the embodiment of shared identity, reducing status differences, and promoting a climate of openness and support, inclusive leaders enable diverse teams to thrive in digital and global contexts (Mitchell et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2024; Ly, 2023; Xu et al., 2023; Qi & Liu, 2017). However, the effectiveness of inclusive leadership and global mindset framework are contingent on leaders' ability to navigate cultural, generational, and geographical diversity, requiring high levels of cultural intelligence, empathy, and adaptive communication (Han & Beyerlein, 2016).

While inclusive leadership generally yields positive outcomes, there are limits to its effectiveness; excessive inclusiveness can lead to diminishing returns, particularly in highly diverse teams,

highlighting the need for balance and contextual sensitivity (Qijie & Tang, 2022). The case studies and examples crafted into the paper illustrate that successful implementation of inclusive leadership practices is possible across sectors and geographies, provided leaders are equipped with the necessary skills and mindsets.

Conclusions and Recommendations

What have we done so far in this paper and disquisition? What have through literature/scholarly reviews of related works and logical synthesis of ideas examine within the digital economy and global workspace, the effects of inclusive leadership and global mindsets in the management of workplace diversity at a distance. Inclusive leadership and global mindsets frameworks are gaining popularity among organizational leaders, HR Professionals and contemporary organizations. In conclusion, cultivating inclusive leadership and mindsets are essential for managing diversity at a distance in the digital economy. Organizations should invest in developing leaders' cultural intelligence, empathy, and adaptive communication skills, and foster participative, supportive climates to unlock the full potential of their global workforce.

References

- ADP Research (2024). People at Work 2024: A Global Workforce View.
https://www.adpresearch.com/assets/summary-of-people-at-work-2024-a-global-workforce-view/?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Agba, M. S., Agba, G. E. M., & Obeten, A. W. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Public Management and Governance in Developed and Developing Market Economies. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research*, 1(2), 1–14. Retrieved from
<https://jpapgr.com/index.php/research/article/view/13>
- Aly, Y. (2024). Employee engagement mediate: the impact of digital leadership and leadership diversity in shaping operational performance. *The International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Studies*, 8(1), 34-56. doi: 10.21608/ijthsx.2024.336593.1128
- Alyahya, D. (2024). Together we can end the digital divide that disenfranchises 2.6 billion people. <https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/society-equity/comment-together-we-can-end-digital-divide-that-disenfranchises-26-billion-2024-09-17/>
- Barak, M., Luria, G., Brimhall, K., & Özbilgin, M. (2024). How do inclusive leaders emerge? A theory-based model. *Management Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13505076241269746>
- Bindel Sibassaha JL, Pea-Assounga JBB & Bambi PDR (2025). Influence of digital transformation on employee innovative behavior: roles of challenging appraisal, organizational culture support, and transformational leadership style. *Frontier. Psychology*. 16:1532977. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1532977
- Bourke, J. (April, 2016). The six signature traits of inclusive leadership: Thriving in a diverse new world. Deloitte University Press. <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/talent/six-signature-traits-of-inclusive-leadership.html>
- Braun, M., Kozlowski, S., Brown, T., & DeShon, R. (2020). Exploring the Dynamic Team Cohesion–Performance and Coordination–Performance Relationships of Newly Formed Teams. *Small Group Research*, 51, 551 - 580. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496420907157>
- Brown, R. (2000). Social identity theory: past achievements, current problems and future challenges. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 30, 745-778. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-0992\(200011/12\)30:6<745::AID-EJSP24>3.0.CO;2-O](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-0992(200011/12)30:6<745::AID-EJSP24>3.0.CO;2-O)
- Businesswire (2024). Diversity and Inclusion (D&I) Global Strategic Business Report 2024: Top Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Trends for 2024 and Beyond.
<https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20240604406201/en/Diversity-and-Inclusion-DI->

- Global-Strategic-Business-Report-2024-Top-Diversity-Equity-and-Inclusion-Trends-for-2024-and-Beyond---ResearchAndMarkets.com?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Carrington, S., Park, E., McKay, L., Saggars, B., Harper-Hill, K., & Somerwil, T. (2024). Evidence of transformative leadership for inclusive practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104466>
- Courier Mail. (2025). *Digital Nomads: The Critical Workers Seeing the World and Getting Paid for It*. Retrieved from <https://www.couriermail.com.au/lifestyle/digital-nomads-the-critical-workers-seeing-the-world-and-getting-paid-for-it/news-story/e6e7a1aefda64bb70b7868a8d611d852>
- Cricelli, L., & Strazzullo, S. (2021). The Economic Aspect of Digital Sustainability: A Systematic Review. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13158241>
- Davis, J., Love, T., & Fares, P. (2019). Collective Social Identity: Synthesizing Identity Theory and Social Identity Theory Using Digital Data. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 82, 254 - 273. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0190272519851025>
- Dema, H., Hamid, H., & Barisan, B. (2023). Transformational Leadership in Supporting Innovative Digital Governance. *Jurnal Studi Pemerintahan*, 14(1), 69–80. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jgp.v14i1.13528>
- Demir, M., Cooke, N., & Amazeen, P. (2018). A conceptual model of team dynamical behaviors and performance in human-autonomy teaming. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 52, 497-507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsys.2018.07.029>
- Demir, M., Likens, A., Cooke, N., Amazeen, P., & Mcneese, N. (2019). Team Coordination and Effectiveness in Human-Autonomy Teaming. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 49, 150-159. <https://doi.org/10.1109/THMS.2018.2877482>
- Ding, X., Li, Q., Zhang, H., Sheng, Z., & Wang, Z. (2017). Linking transformational leadership and work outcomes in temporary organizations: A social identity approach. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35, 543-556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPROMAN.2017.02.005>
- Durokifa, A. (2025). CULTURAL DISPARITIES IN LEADERSHIP: UNMASKING THE FAÇADE OF COMPASSION IN THE WORKPLACE. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v13i2.4181>
- Economic Times (2025). AI poses a greater threat to women in the workforce, but why? ILO study reveals alarming gender gap predictions https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/magazines/panache/ai-poses-a-greater-threat-to-women-in-the-workforce-but-why-ilo-study-reveals-alarming-gender-gap-predictions/articleshow/121496170.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- Elyousfi, F., Anand, A., & Dalmasso, A. (2021). Impact of e-leadership and team dynamics on virtual team performance in a public organization. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-08-2020-0218>
- Grau-Sarabia, M., & Fuster-Morell, M. (2021). Gender approaches in the study of the digital economy: a systematic literature review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00875-x>
- Griep, Y., Cruz, K. S., Haggard, D. L., & Haggard, K. S. (2025). The Inclusive Leadership Paradox: When Inclusion Efforts Lead to the Exclusion of Neurodivergent People. *Group & Organization Management*, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1177/10596011251330687>
- Hacking HR Lab. (April, 2024). *Building Inclusive Remote Teams: Policies for Equity and Success*. <https://hackinghrlab.io/blogs/inclusivity-in-remote-work/>
- Han, S., & Beyerlein, M. (2016). Framing the Effects of Multinational Cultural Diversity on Virtual Team Processes. *Small Group Research*, 47, 351 - 383. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496416653480>
- Herriot, P., & Scott-Jackson, W. (2002). Globalization, Social Identities and Employment. *British Journal of Management*, 13, 249-257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00241>

- Hogg, M., & Terry, D. (2000). Social Identity and Self-Categorization Processes in Organizational Contexts. *Academy of Management Review*, 25, 121-140.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2000.2791606>
- Jacobs, E. (May 29, 2025). Class is the missing link in employers' diversity drives.
https://www.ft.com/content/92574955-e828-4409-87e7-b6119792fb19?utm_source=chatgpt.com
- Jorgovan, J. (2024). *How to Transform Your Organization with Inclusive Leadership: 5 Key Strategies*. Retrieved from <https://jake-jorgovan.com/blog/enhance-organization-with-inclusive-leadership>
- Kter, S., Mdramjana, L., Afiz, M., & Mran, S. (2024). Transformational Leadership For Inclusive Business And Their Social Impact On Bottom Of The Pyramid (Bop) Populations. *Journal Of Creative Writing (ISSN-2410-6259)*. <https://doi.org/10.70771/jocw.134>
- Lakuta, L. (2024). How inclusive is the tech landscape in 2024?
<https://www.revolegroup.com/blog/diversity-in-tech-statistics/>
- Licorish, S., Da Costa, D., Zolduoarrati, E., & Grattan, N. (2023). Relating team atmosphere and group dynamics to student software development teams' performance. *Inf. Softw. Technol.*, 167, 107377.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2023.107377>
- Lisak, A., & Harush, R. (2021). Global and local identities on the balance scale: Predicting transformational leadership and effectiveness in multicultural teams. *PLoS ONE*, 16.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254656>
- Liu, Y., Fang, Y., & Chen, N. (2024). The Impact of Inclusive Leadership on Team Innovation: A Moderated Chain Mediation Model. *Journal of Business and Psychology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-024-09998-9>
- Liu, Z. (2024). A Review and Prospect of Research on Inclusive Leadership. Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Education Innovation and Philosophical Inquiries. DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/58/20241712
- Ly, B. (2023). Inclusion leadership and employee work engagement: The role of organizational commitment in Cambodian public organization. *Asia Pacific Management Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2023.06.003>
- Makri, K., Papadas, K., & Schlegelmilch, B. (2019). Global social networking sites and global identity: A three-country study. *Journal of Business Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.065>
- Mathieu, J., Kukenberger, M., D'Innocenzo, L., & Reilly, G. (2015). Modeling reciprocal team cohesion-performance relationships, as impacted by shared leadership and members' competence.. *The Journal of applied psychology*, 100 3, 713-34.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038898>
- Merchant, G. (2006). Identity, Social Networks and Online Communication. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 3, 235 - 244. <https://doi.org/10.2304/elea.2006.3.2.235>
- Mitchell, R., Boyle, B., Parker, V., Giles, M., Chiang, V., & Joyce, P. (2015). Managing Inclusiveness and Diversity in Teams: How Leader Inclusiveness Affects Performance through Status and Team Identity. *Human Resource Management*, 54, 217-239.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/HRM.21658>
- Muralidharan, E., & Pathak, S. (2018). Sustainability, Transformational Leadership, and Social Entrepreneurship. *Sustainability*, 10, 567. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU10020567>
- National Skills Coalition. (2023). *New Report: 92% of Jobs Require Digital Skills; One-Third of Workers Have Low or No Digital Skills Due to Historic Underinvestment, Structural Inequities*. Retrieved from <https://nationalskillscoalition.org/news/press-releases/new-report-92-of-jobs-require-digital-skills-one-third-of-workers-have-low-or-no-digital-skills-due-to-historic-underinvestment-structural-inequities/>

- O'Neill, T., & McLarnon, M. (2017). Optimizing team conflict dynamics for high performance teamwork. *Human Resource Management Review*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HRMR.2017.06.002>
- Paiuc, D. (2021). Cultural Intelligence as a Core Competence of Inclusive Leadership. *Management Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy*, 9, 363 - 378. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mdke-2021-0024>
- , Q., & Tang, N. (2022). Too much of a good thing: the curvilinear relation between inclusive leadership and team innovative behaviors. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-022-09862-5>
- Qi, L., & Liu, B. (2017). Effects of Inclusive Leadership on Employee Voice Behavior and Team Performance: The Mediating Role of Caring Ethical Climate. *Frontiers in Communication*, 2.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2017.00008>
- Qi, L., Liu, B., Wei, X., & Hu, Y. (2019). Impact of inclusive leadership on employee innovative behavior: Perceived organizational support as a mediator. *PLoS ONE*, 14.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212091>
- Reuters. (2024). *Together We Can End Digital Divide That Disenfranchises 2.6 Billion*. Retrieved from <https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/society-equity/comment-together-we-can-end-digital-divide-that-disenfranchises-26-billion-2024-09-17/>
- RW3 CultureWizard. (2023). *Inclusive leadership for remote work*. <https://www.rw-3.com/blog/inclusive-leadership-for-remote-work>
- Shields, C. (2020). Transformative Leadership. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.632>
- Sun, P., Zuo, X., Liu, X., Huang, H. & Wen, M. (2024). Inclusive Leadership: Beyond Diversity to True Equity. *International Journal of Science and Business*, 33(1), 34-43. DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.58970/IJSB.2313> Retrieved from <http://ijsab.com/wp-content/uploads/2313.pdf>
- Times of India. (2025). *Tech Layoffs 2025: Over 61,000 Jobs Cut as Microsoft, Google, Amazon, and CrowdStrike Reshape Workforce*. Retrieved from <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/technology/tech-news/tech-layoffs-2025-over-61000-jobs-cut-as-microsoft-google-amazon-and-crowdstrike-reshape-workforce/articleshow/121359700.cms>
- UNCTAD. (2024). *Digital Economy Report 2024*. Retrieved from <https://unctad.org/publication/digital-economy-report-2024>
- Velarde, J., Ghani, M., Adams, D., & Cheah, J. (2020). Towards a healthy school climate: The mediating effect of transformational leadership on cultural intelligence and organisational health. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 50, 163 - 184.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143220937311>
- Xu, H., Yang, C., & Sun, J. (2023). The Influence of Inclusive Leadership on Team Performance in The Entrepreneurial Context. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.11510>
- Yang, J., & Tan, F. (2023). Exploring the progress of global digital economy research: a bibliometric study via R-tool. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1-31.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-04111-1>